

# **BUSINESS** VALUATION UPDATE

Vol. 20, No. 4, April 2014

TIMELY NEWS, ANALYSIS, AND RESOURCES FOR DEFENSIBLE VALUATIONS

## Fannon and Dunitz Discuss Lost Profits and Other Commercial Damages

The calculation of lost profits and other commercial damages represent a growing opportunity for valuation experts. The most extensive book in this area is the third edition of *The Comprehensive Guide to Lost Profits and Other Commercial Damages,* edited by Nancy J. Fannon, CPA, ABV, ASA, MCBA (Meyers, Harrison & Pia), and Jonathan M. Dunitz, Esq. (Verrill Dana). Along with an all-star cast of contributing authors, Fannon and Dunitz have just completed the newest edition of this book, a significantly enhanced and expanded version of the second edition. *BVU* recently talked about the new edition with the editors, who also gave their unique insights into the practice of lost profits and damages.

#### *BVU:* What makes the valuation of lost profits/ damages different or unique when compared with other types of valuation assignments?

**Nancy Fannon:** I think the most notable difference (compared to a nonlitigation engagement) is the amount of evidence that you need to support a damage claim. This affects everything you do from the minute you take that first phone call to the end of the engagement. Also, the attorneys who handle these cases tend to be of a very high caliber, and financial experts really need to be conversant not only in the economics of damages, but also with the law that guides the appropriate remedy associated with different actions.

# *BVU:* Has there been an increase in the amount or complexity of the evidence needed?

NF: Absolutely. I've been practicing business valuation for 28 years and testifying for 20 years. When I continued on page 5...

### Seven Takeaways From Recent Business Valuation Cases

Many business valuation cases work their way through the courts, but only some of them have a significant impact on the profession. That handful of important cases is the subject of a regular webinar update by BVR's legal editor, attorney Sylvia Golden, and business appraiser R. James Alerding, CPA/ABV, ASA (Alerding Consulting LLC).

Of course, the two discussed many key aspects of these cases, but here are the most salient points for valuation analysts.

#### 1. Excess earnings method is alive and well.

Although the excess earnings method has its critics, it is in use and it stands up in court, especially when valuing small businesses and professional practices. It was the method one party demanded and the court approved in a New

#### continued on next page ...

#### **INSIDE THIS ISSUE**

Can Regional Data Be Used to Determine Reasonable Compensation Anywhere?
10 Current—and Controversial—Issues in Bankruptcy Valuations
Inside Look at a Post-M&A Damage Valuation andSettlement15
Legal & Court Case Updates 19   - Estate of Richmond 19   - Hill v. Hill 22   - Orthoflex, Inc. v. Thermotek, Inc. 24   - Hamelink v. Hamelink 27   - Alli v. Commissioner 29   - Robocast, Inc. v. Microsoft Corp. 32
BVR's Economic Outlook for the Month
CALENDAR
APRIL 2014 COST OF CAPITAL CENTER

# Can Regional Data Be Used to Determine Reasonable Compensation Anywhere?

#### By Stuart Weiss, CPA/ABV

If you're valuing small private companies, then you know that one of the biggest adjustments to be made is for reasonable compensation. But getting good, supportable data is often a challenge—and expensive. Therefore, consider a regional survey, which could give you the data you need at an affordable price.

In their book, *Reasonable Compensation: Application and Analysis for Appraisal, Tax and Management Purposes,* Ron Seigneur, ASA, CPA/ABV/CFF, CVA, and Kevin Yeanoplos, CPA/ ABV/CFF, ASA, devote a chapter to sources of data. When discussing the *Northwest Executive Compensation Survey,* the authors write: "We haven't seen any compensation survey with this much detail." Also, unlike many compensation surveys that cost in the thousands of dollars, this one sells for \$595.

Milliman, founded in 1947, is an executive compensation consulting firm based in Seattle. With nearly \$1 billion in annual revenues, the firm is also known for its actuarial and employee benefits expertise. *The 2013-2014 Milliman NW Executive Compensation Survey*, the company's 29th edition, covers 288 companies in Oregon, Washington, and Idaho. There are data on CEOs as well as 18 other job titles, categorized by company size and industry. Since Milliman does about 20 other executive surveys on a variety of topics, "they have an inside track with companies, many of whom are reluctant to provide compensation data," says Larry Daniels, senior compensation consultant with Milliman.

The following are some of the executive positions that are surveyed and tabulated:

- Chief executive officer;
- President;
- Chief financial officer;

- Chief information officer;
- Top marketing executive;
- Top sales executive;
- Top manufacturing executive;
- Top HR executive; and
- General counsel.

Using the survey. For business appraisers in the Pacific Northwest, of course, the data are attractive because they are region-specific. Recently, I was asked to value a real estate investment company in Portland, Ore. Since no industry category lined up with it, I chose to use the composite of privately held companies in the \$0-to-\$30 million range. I saw that the 50th percentile compensation was \$168,000, significantly lower than my subject. I also looked at the list of company perks listed on page 62 of the survey and determined that my subject company CEO enjoyed a wider array of perks. Based on these data and other subjective factors, I reduced the compensation of my subject by about \$80,000, which added another \$400,000 to its value.

But where does that leave appraisers in other regions? Daniels argues that other factors weigh much more heavily than geography. "We think executive compensation is a national market," he says. "The variation is more about industry and size of company."

For example, on page 12 of the survey, median privately held CEO cash compensation for companies in the \$0-to-\$30 million category is \$168,600 (see the exhibit). Now look at companies in the \$250 million-to-\$1 billion range, and you'll see that compensation more than doubles to nearly \$445,000. Daniels believes that regional differences would not be nearly as wide. In reality, an executive in Portland would probably not need a cost-of-living adjustment to live in most cities in the U.S., with notable exceptions including Los Angeles, San Francisco, Boston, and New York City.

Many online calculators can convert costs from one city to another. For example, a calculator on CNN's website (money.cnn .com/calculator/pf/ cost-of-living) indicates that a person earning \$200,000 per year in Portland would need to earn about \$379.000 in Manhattan, N.Y., to have an equal standard of living. Salary differential studies

ALL PARTICIPANTS	SALES / REVENUE OR ASSETS (Millions) ANNUAL BASE SALARY (\$							CASH BONUS (\$)						TOTAL CASH COMPENSATION (\$)			
Company Sales/Revenue (\$Millions)	25th %tile	50th %tile		# of Cos.	Average (Mean)	25th %tile	50th %tile (Median)	75th %tile	# of Cos.	Average (Mean)	25th %tile	50th %til (Median		Average (Mean)	25th	50th %tile (Median)	75th %tile
Al Participants*	53.3	278.7	1,082.7	148	497,682	274,457	425,288	681,917	100	573,144	86,931	260,136	756,775	5 884,94	2 324,583	559,684	1,168,7
0.0 to 30.0	1.00	- 58	167	201	38.40	100.000	20.00	31,55	種目	45,401	1.04	1.00	6.78	34.7	1 m.m	381,790	388.3
30.1 to 100.0	40.1	. 413	0.1	1.00	341-125	105.413	345.860	10.00		107,241	15.04	10.07	95.0	80.0	E 25.84	38.03	- 40.4
100.1 to 250.0	1117.3	1862	NE 2	100	BATH.	38.94	Internet in	55.48	10	37.741	10.00	105.17	IN.R	80.0	1 34.55	100.411	- 4622
250.1 to 1,000.0	347 +	444	194.8	100	64.75	43.78	45477	434.50	3	38.14	10.140	345.46	ER.H	76.0	1 11.00	10.25	-
1,000+ Sales	LATER	1001	4,000.0	- 10	. arr.m2	BO AR	194,010	80.76	. 16	1,238,338	58.06	46.0	1,00.00	100.0	1.06.05	1.661.225	190
Banking / Financial:	-					_			1				-	_	-		
	in the second	1000	100.0	100	78.84	10.00	20.00	36.10	10	76.201	2.58	10.10	5.0	171.0	a	38.00	100
<1,000 Assets	1000																
>1,000 Assets	ides Bank	ing / Fina	incial Indu	istry.	40,075	104.307	38.36	16.00		25,62	3.68	10.00	36.56	655	38.50	48,96	-
>1,000 Assets		ing / Fin			AL BASE SA	NLARY (\$)	38.36	55.00		CASH	BONUS (	1 102.000 5)	38.30	TOT	AL CASH CO	MPENSATIC	
>1,000 Assets All Participants exclu	DED	# of Cos.		INNU	AL BASE SA 25th Percentile	ALARY (\$) Soth %tile (Median)	75th Percentile	# of Cos.	Avera (Mea	ge 25	th 50	th %tile	75th Percentile	TOT. Average (Mean)	AL CASH CO 25th Percentile	50th %tile	75th
>1,000 Assets All Participants exclu PUBLICLY TRA Company Sal	DED les/ ions)	# of	Avera	INNU	25th	50th %tile				ge 25	th 50	th %tile		Average	25th	50th %tile	75th
>1,000 Assets All Participants exclu PUBLICLY TRA Company Sal Revenue (\$Mill	DED les/ ions) 30.0	# of Cos.	Avera	INNU	25th	50th %tile	Percentile	Cos.		ge 25	th 50	th %tile	Percentile	Average	25th	50th %tile	75th
>1,000 Assets All Participants exclu PUBLICLY TRA Company Sal Revenue (\$Mill 0.0 to	DED ions) 30.0 00.0	# of Cos. 12	A Avera (Mea	INNU	25th	50th %tile (Median)	Percentile	Cos.	(Mea	ige 25 n) Perci	th 50	th %tile	Percentile	Average	25th Percentile	50th %tile	75th
>1.000 Assets All Participants exclu PUBLICLY TRA Company Sal Revenue (\$Mill 0.0 to 30.1 to 1	DED ions) 30.0 00.0 50.0	# of Cos. 12 11	A Avera (Mea	NNU/ ige in)	25th	Soth %tile (Median)	Percentile	Cos.	(Mea	ige 25 Perci	ith 50 entile (N	th %tile Median)	Percentile	Average	25th Percentile	50th %tile (Median)	75th
>1,000 Assets All Participants exclu PUBLICLY TRA Company Sal Revenue (\$Mill 0.0 to 30.1 to 1 100.1 to 2	DED ions) 30.0 00.0 50.0 00.0	# of Cos. 12 11 11	A Avera (Mea	NNU/ ige in)	25th Percentile	50th %tile (Median)	Percentile etc.im etc.im etc.im	Cos.	(Mea	ige 25 Perci	ith 50 entile (N	th %tile Median)	Percentile 310, 198	Average (Mean)	25th Percentile	50th %tile (Median)	
>1,000 Assets All Participants exclu PUBLICLY TRA Company Sal Revenue (\$Mill 0.0 to 30.1 to 1 100.1 to 2 250.1 to 1,0	DED ions) 30.0 00.0 50.0 00.0	# of Cos. 12 11 11 24	A Avera (Mea	NNU/ sge in)	25th Percentile	Soth %tile (Median)	Percentile etc.an etc.an	Cos.	(Mea	ige 25 Perci	ith 50 entile (M	th %tile Median) F	Percentile 300,146 467,366 797,346	Average (Mean)	25th Percentile	50th %tile (Median)	75th Percen
>1,000 Assets All Participants exclu PUBLICLY TRA Company Sal Revenue (SMBI 0.0 to 30.1 to 1 30.1 to 1 100.1 to 2 2250.1 to 1,0 1,000+ S	DED ions) 30.0 50.0 50.0 50.0 50.0 50.0 50.0 50.0	# of Cos. 12 11 11 24	A Avera (Mea	NNU/ sge in)	25th Percentile	Soth %tile (Median)	Percentile etc.an etc.an	Cos.	(Mea	ige 25 Perci	ith 50 entile (M	th %tile Median) F	Percentile 300,146 467,366 797,346	Average (Mean)	25th Percentile	50th %tile (Median)	75th Percen

PRIVATELY HELD		ANNU	AL BASE SA	ALARY (\$)			4	CASH BONU	US (\$)	TOTAL CASH COMPENSATION (\$)				
Company Sales/ Revenue (\$Millions)	# of Cos.	Average (Mean)	25th Percentile	50th %tile (Median)	75th Percentile	# of Cos.	Average (Mean)	25th Percentile	50th %tile (Median)	75th Percentile	Average (Mean)	25th Percentile	50th %tile (Median)	75th Percentil
0.0 to 30.0	10	19,400	14,20	-	16.40	. +	54	144		58	86,717	102,248	10,000	24.8
30.1 to 100.0	15	DUAD	175,008	10.49	26.46		6.90	3.36	3,040	6.48	247.18	175,08	34.76	1963
100.1 to 250.0	9	10.40	14.10	26.10	80.04		10	14		14	114.00	14,00	36.01	P0.3
250.1 to 1,000.0	18	465,396	171.28	46.03	GARD		101.03	64.00	8.40	10.04	101.734	45.44	104.425	641.8
1,000+ Sales	8	12.00	e1.00	10.00	76.53	1	68	14		144	68.73	40.78	746.56	102.4
Banking / Financial:														
<1,000 Assets	48	28,763	100,000	17.88	84.00		3.56	2.88	8.40	6.08	30.40	166,700	36,71	367
>1,000 Assets	12	48.74	10.04	36.36	48.00		104.075	82.2%		26.075	10.28	36.90	40.88	79.7

can also be used for adjustments, such as the U.S. Geographic Salary Differential study from Mercer (imercer.com).

The problem with calculators and average adjustments is that they sometimes defy common sense. A person earning \$200,000 in Portland can probably afford to buy a four-bedroom house in the best part of town. A person earning \$379,000 probably can't afford to live in Manhattan, certainly not at the same standard of living.

As a result, while it may not be best to try to adapt regional data such as Milliman to one of America's big expensive cities, it's probably not necessary to adjust it for any place else.

Both the *Milliman NW Executive Compensation Survey* and *Reasonable Compensation: Application and Analysis* are available at www .bvresources.com/compensation.

*Stuart Weiss, CPA/ABV,* is a business valuation practitioner in Portland, Ore. He can be reached at stu@stuartweiss.com or 503-223-3142.

# COMPENSATION

2013 - 2014 Northwest EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION SURVEY of Protectly field Comparise of Protectly field Com

### 2013-2014 Milliman NW Executive Compensation Survey

(Annual Report - PDF delivered via email)

The 29th edition of this *Survey* provides unique reference information regarding executive compensation practices within Northwest companies, including Washington, Oregon and Idaho. It is the only comprehensive source of data on executive compensation, benefits, and perquisites within the Northwest, with data compiled from 220+ companies!

Order your copy today for \$595.00 at: bvresources.com/publications or (503) 291-7963